UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/772,582	02/06/2004	Yasushi Yamamoto	70366-012	2340
7590 01/24/2007 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096		•	BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
• _				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 E	DAYS	01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.					
	Applicant(s)					
10/772,582 YAMAMOTO ET AL						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Brown	3772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	—· s action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under t		•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		,				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	. •					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 25 II S.C. S. 11	2(a) (d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 33 0.3.C. § 11	5(a)-(d) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		cation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	- · ·	<u></u>				
application from the International Burea	•	· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not reco	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)				
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	nil Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application				

K

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Group I, Claims 1-9 and Group II, Claims 10-15. The species are independent or distinct because Group I can be used without using Group II.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 16-20 are, drawn to a method of massaging, classified in class 601 subclass 99.

Art Unit: 3772

II. Claim 21 is drawn to a massage method, classified in class 60, subclass46.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions Group II can be performed using a person hand without performing Group I.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,582

Art Unit: 3772

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. Brown January 18, 2007

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 9-B-